



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,627		09/18/2003	Hans-Georg Schindler	01950/000M954-US0	5088	
7278	7590	09/03/2004		EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C.				NGUYEN, HUNG		
	P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.D. (1.0.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1				2851	2851	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/664,627	SCHINDLER ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hung Henry V Nguyen	2851					
The MAILING I	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is F	INAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-</u> 6)□ Claim(s) <u></u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and</u>	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1,2,4-12 and 14 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3 and 13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ■ 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
3) M Information Disclosure St	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	* <u>=</u>						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/18/2003</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/664,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Ex Parte Quayle

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

IN THE ABSTRACT

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be limited to a single paragraph. Correction is required.

IN THE DRAWINGS

- 3. The drawings are objected to because in figure 1, each of boxes must be labeled by its own name. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "20; "22" and "24" (see figure 1). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any

amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

IN THE CLAIMS

5. Claims 3 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: there are no periods at the end of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

PRIORITY

6. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in European Patent Office on September 24, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the above application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Reasons for Allowance

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1-14 have been found to be allowable since the prior art of record either alone or in combination, neither discloses nor makes obvious the combination of a method of automatically evaluating an image being processed in a photographic laboratory system into different forms of outputs comprising steps of (1) determining a grade value for each of one or more characteristics properties of the image, along with step (2) of assigning a target value to each of the characteristic image

Art Unit: 2851

properties relative to each available form of output; steps (3) of selecting one of the different forms of output and steps (4) of comparing the grade value to the target value for the selected form of output, as recited in the instant claims of the present application.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Prior Art Made of Record

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Slater et al (U.S.Pat. 6,157,435); Holm (U.S.Pat. 6,249,315) and Minamisawa et al (U.S.Pat. 5,210,570) disclose method for determining an exposure printing and image processing and have been cited for technical background.

9. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Henry V Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung Henry V Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

hvn 8/31/04